Scaling configuration complexity without eroding margins

By Nils Olson, Chief of Strategy, Tacton

In capital equipment manufacturing, variability is part of the business model, not an exception to it. Even enterprises that are built on a standardised platform rarely produce identical systems. All orders reflect a unique combination of performance requirements, environmental conditions, regulatory constraints, automation levels, materials and regional standards.

Personalisation drives growth. But as configurability expands, greater product variety coincides with margin erosion, operational instability and discount pressure. The root cause is rarely shop-floor performance. More often than not, it comes from fragmented configuration governance: how decisions are defined, aligned and executed across the business.

EACH ORDER IS A FINANCIAL COMMITMENT

Capital equipment operates on a project-based system. Each order carries its own revenue, cost structure and margin expectation. Unlike high-volume manufacturing, errors don't average out across thousands of units. A single configuration mistake can result in rework, expediting, scrap, field amends, or contractual consequences.

Production costs dominate the cost structure in complex equipment environments. Small deviations in materials, routing, or engineering interpretation can materially affect profitability. Once costs are embedded in assemblies or site installations, recovery options are limited.

Prioritising margins requires controlling complexity before it reaches execution. The challenge is how configuration decisions move and evolve across the enterprise.

FRAGMENTATION ISSUES ARISE

Customers demand speed, honesty and coherent timelines. To respond, sales often rely on simplified product representations, performance tiers, bundled features and high-level choices.

Downstream, product definitions become far more granular. Engineering structures the eBOM. Manufacturing converts it into plant-specific mBOMs and routings. Supply chain sources components based on cost and lead time. Service must support the delivered configuration for years.

When configuration logic is scattered across CRM, CPQ, PLM, ERP systems, spreadsheets and local tools, inconsistency is unavoidable. Each function operates from its own interpretation of the product definition, and that disconnect often remains hidden until fulfillment, when corrections are most expensive.

Operational firefighting, repeated engineering validation, inaccurate BOMs, premium freight, supply disruptions and limited installed-base naturally follow. These issues may surface late in the process, but their root cause sits upstream in fragmented governance and disconnected logic.

THEN MARGIN EROSION BEGINS

As portfolios become more configurable, uncertainty enters the commercial process. Sales teams cannot always answer critical questions with confidence:

- Is the configuration technically valid?
- Can it be built in the selected plant?
- What is the true cost impact?
- What lead-time risk does it introduce?

To ensure business deals continue moving forward, enterprises must compensate without discounting, post-quote validation and late-stage design adjustments. Revenue is secured, but cost volatility is built in from the start.

Engineering spends disproportionate time correcting orders. Manufacturing encounters incompatible or missing components. Supply chain reacts with alternative sourcing and expediting. Projects ship despite reduced margins.

MOVING DISCIPLINE UPRIVER

High-performing manufacturers shift configuration governance upstream at commercial decision-making. The objective is not to limit customer choice, but to standardise how choices are defined,

validated and executed.

This requires a unified configuration backbone connecting commercial, engineering, manufacturing and service perspectives. Rather than duplicating rules across systems, configuration logic is defined once and reused throughout the lifecycle.

In practice:

- Commercial teams can generate quotes exclusively from configurations that are both technically compliant and financially controlled.
- Engineering establishes product architecture and constraint rules within a governed, structured framework.
- Each order automatically produces precise manufacturing BOMs and routings that reflect the capabilities of the assigned facility.
- Supply chain receives earlier insight into demand patterns and operational limitations, enabling more proactive planning.
- Service maintains complete configuration traceability for every asset throughout its operational life.

STANDARDISED DECISIONS, BUT BESPOKE PRODUCTS

Through digitising engineering knowledge and embedding constraints directly into configuration processes, companies reduce reliance on tribal expertise and manual coordination. Engineering spends less time on validating quotes. Manufacturing receives consistent order definitions. Supply chain reduces buffer inventory because demand signals are clearer and sooner.

With more consistent execution across plants and regions, companies reduce overhead and make better use of their capital.

MAKING TRADE-OFFS VISIBLE EARLY

Change is inevitable in complex projects. But its financial impact increases dramatically the later it takes place. Adjustments during configuration are manageable. Changes after production begins can trigger scrap, rework, retrofits and delays.

An enterprise that scales complexity effectively surfaces trade-offs in real time. Capacity evolves, material substitutions and optional features are evaluated immediately against cost, routing, plant allocation and lead-time implications. Conflicts are resolved while customers are still deciding, not on the shop floor.

A STRATEGIC HANDLE FOR EFFICIENT GROWTH

Increasing configurability and protecting margins has less to do with technology and more to do with how the organisation aligns and manages decisions across teams.

When manufacturers operate from a single, unified source of configuration logic, they strengthen revenue reliability, lower the cost of quality issues, bring greater stability to project margins and use capital more effectively. Complexity is inherent to the business. Margin loss doesn't have to be.

https://www.tacton.com